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## Test Cases for HIRENASD Wing

- $M=0.80$ and test medium: nitrogen
- Steady (Static) Cases:
- a) $\operatorname{Re}_{\mathrm{c}}=7.0$ million, $\alpha=1.5^{\circ}$, (exp. 132)
b) $\mathrm{Re}_{\mathrm{c}}=23.5$ million, $\alpha=-1.34^{\circ}$, (exp. 250)
- Unsteady (Dynamic) Cases: Forced oscillations in 2nd bending mode
- a) $R e_{c}=7.0$ million, $\alpha=1.5^{\circ}, f=78.9 \mathrm{~Hz}(\exp .159)$
-b) $R e_{c}=23.5$ million, $a=-1.34^{\circ}, f=80.4 \mathrm{~Hz}(\exp .271)$
- Analyses are performed by using ZEUS Software developed by ZONA Technology.


## ZEUS Software

- ZEUS is ZONA's Euler unsteady aeroelastic solver to provide solutions for complex configurations. It uses Cartesian grid and employs boundary layer coupling.
- Uses modal data importer and ZAERO 3D spline module.
- Constructs structured grids.
- Turbulence Model: Green's Integral Boundary Layer Method
- Flux Construction: Central difference with JST (Jameson-SchmidtTurkel) Artificial Dissipation Scheme


## Program Architecture of ZEUS



## Computational Model Information

- Modal analysis is performed in Nastran and then imported to ZEUS for steady and unsteady calculations.
- Two sets of analyses are performed based on two different FEM models and compared to experimental data.
- 1) HIRENASD FEM Structured Wing (with hollow wing body)
- This model was used by ETW in 2008.
- Steady results will be presented.
- Unsteady results were not comparable.
- 2) HIRENASD Nov2011 FEM ModeI
- Current coarse FEM model provided AEPW committee.
- Steady and unsteady results will be presented.


## Unsteady Computation Data

- For HIRENASD Nov2011 FEM Model
, 1st Case (Low Re Case for Exp.159) (Processing Freq: 78.9 Hz )
, Time record: 0.0253485 sec
, Time step-size: 0.0001 sec
- Number of sub-iteration per global time step: 30
- Nsteps/cycle: 256
, 2nd Case (High Re Case for Exp.271) (Processing Freq: 80.4 Hz )
, Time record: 0.00248756 sec
, Time step-size: 0.00009717 sec
- Number of sub-iteration per global time step: 30
, Nsteps/cycle: 128


## Analysis Set-(1)

- FEM Model: HIRENASD FEM Structured Wing (with hollow wing body)
- Set-1 is analyzed by ITU.



## Aerodynamic Model Information Set-1

- Aerodynamic Model is generated in ZEUS.
- Grid Type: Structured
- Element Type: Quadrilateral
- Computational Mesh: ( $135 \times 71 \times 55$ )
- Solver: Cell Based
- Platform: Intel Core 2 CPU Processor ~ 1.5 hours (for steady analysis)
- Fluid-Structure Interaction (FSI) is provided by ZEUS.
- Splines between structural and aerodynamic grids are generated by ZEUS.
- After constructing surface mesh, ZEUS automatically generated block elements.


## Results of Steady Analyses Set-1

Low Re Case (for exp.132)

| Quantity | Calculated |
| :---: | :---: |
| $C_{L}$ | 0.35704 |
| $C_{M}$ | -0.59870 |
| $C_{D}$ | 0.01784 |

High Re Case (for exp.250)

| Quantity | Calculated |
| :---: | :---: |
| $C_{L}$ | 0.05370 |
| $C_{M}$ | -0.23513 |
| $C_{D}$ | 0.01283 |

## Convergence for Steady AnalysesLow Re Case (for exp 132)




## Convergence for Steady AnalysesHigh Re Case (for $\exp 250$ )



## Results for exp. 132 Set-1






## Results for exp. 132 Set-1



## Results for exp. 250 Set-1





## Results for exp. 250 Set-1



## Analysis Set-(2)

- FEM Model: HIRENASD Nov2011 FEM Model
- Set-2 is analyzed by ZONA.



## Aerodynamic Model Information Set-2

- Aerodynamic Model is generated in ZEUS.
- Grid Type: Structured
- Element Type: Quadrilateral
- Computational Mesh: (164 x $62 \times 55$ )
, Solver: Cell Based
- Platform: Intel Xeon 8 CPU Cores ~ 10 minutes (for steady analysis), 35 minutes (for unsteady analysis)
- Fluid-Structure Interaction (FSI) is provided by ZEUS.


## Results of Steady Analyses Set-2

Low Re Case (for exp.132)

| Quantity | Calculated |
| :---: | :---: |
| $C_{L}$ | 0.3533 |
| $C_{M}$ | -0.3076 |
| $C_{D}$ | 0.026 |

High Re Case (for exp.250)

| Quantity | Calculated |
| :---: | :---: |
| $C_{L}$ | 0.0355 |
| $C_{M}$ | -0.09585 |
| $C_{D}$ | 0.02268 |

## Steady Results for exp. 132 Set-2




## Steady Results for exp. 132 Set-2




## Steady Results for exp. 132 Set-2




## Steady Results for exp. 132 Set-2



## Steady Results for exp. 250 Set-2




## Steady Results for exp. 250 Set-2




## Steady Results for exp. 250 Set-2




## Steady Results for exp. 250 Set-2



## Unsteady Results for exp. 159 (C $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{p}}$ Magnitude) Set-2




## Unsteady Results for exp. 159 ( $C_{P}$ Magnitude) Set-2
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## Unsteady Results for exp. 159 (C $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{p}}$ Magnitude)
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## Unsteady Results for exp. 159 ( $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{P}}$ Phase) Set-2
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## Unsteady Results for exp. 159 ( $C_{P}$ Phase) Set-2



## Unsteady Results for exp. 271 ( $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{p}}$ Magnitude) Set-2
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## Unsteady Results for exp. 271 ( $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{P}}$ Phase) Set-2
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## Unsteady Results for exp. 271 ( $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{P}}$ Phase) Set-2




## Unsteady Results for exp. 271 ( $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{P}}$ Phase) Set-2



## Conclusions and Future Work

- Steady and unsteady aeroelastic analyses of HIRENASD wing are performed by using ZEUS software.
- Analyses are performed by utilizing two different FEM models (both were coarse).
- Steady results of old model (structured wing with hollow wing body) are comparable.
- The results for new model (Nov2011) give comparable data in both steady and unsteady analyses.

As future work:

- Time histories of Cp values (missing data) should be provided.
- Mesh quality will be improved to reach more accurate results.
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